IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KAY SWITZER,	No. 4:19-CV-00139

Plaintiff, (Judge Brann)

v.

WAL-MART STORES EAST, L.P.,

Defendant.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of July 2019, in light of Mediator Delano M.

Lantz, Esquire's report to the Court indicating that the matter has settled, ECF No.

14, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed without costs and without prejudice to the right of either party, upon good cause shown, to reinstate the action within sixty (60) days if the settlement is not consummated. IT IS

FUTHER ORDERED that the Court retains jurisdiction over the settlement agreement.¹

BY THE COURT:

s/ Matthew W. BrannMatthew W. BrannUnited States District Judge

See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S. 375, 381 (1994)("The parties' obligation to comply with the terms of the settlement agreement [must be] made part of the order of dismissal—either by separate provision (such as a provision 'retaining jurisdiction' over the settlement agreement) or by incorporating the terms of the settlement agreement in the order. In that event, a breach of the agreement would be a violation of the order, and ancillary jurisdiction to enforce the agreement would therefore exist."); see also In re Phar-Mor, Inc. Sec. Litig., 172 F.3d 270, 274 (3d Cir. 1999); Shaffer v. GTE N., Inc., 284 F.3d 500, 503 (3d Cir. 2002).